

Notice to Non Residents.

State of Indiana } Adams Circuit Court Au-
Adams County } ss gust Term 1861.

Neoma Stulzer, }
vs } COMPLAINT FOR
Nichademus Stulzer } DIVORCE.

Comes now the plaintiff in this behalf by Martin V. B. Simeoke, her Attorney and files in said Court her complaint accompanied by a proper affidavit setting forth that the defendant Nichademus Stulzer is a non resident of the State of Indiana therefore said defendant is hereby notified of the filing and pendency of said Complaint and unless he appears in said court to be holden on the 4th Monday of August next at the Court House in the Town of Decatur, in said County and answer or demur to said Complaint on or before the first calling thereof the matters there in contained will be taken as confessed to be true.



Witness James B. Simeoke Clerk of said Court and the seal thereof hereunto affixed at Decatur this the 14th day of March, 1861.

JAMES B. Simeoke
Clerk

State of Indiana

Adams County.

BE IT REMEMBERED That, on this the 22nd day of August

1861 Personally appeared before the undersigned James B. Simeoke

of lawful age who being duly sworn according to law, says that the Advertisement

hereunto annexed was published in the Adams County Democrat a Weekly newspa

per published in said County for three weeks in succession at least 30 days

before the 1st day of the August term of the Adams Circuit Court for

the year 1861 and that said notice was taken from said paper of July

25th A. D. 1861 and further saith not. James B. Simeoke

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22nd day of

August.

A. D. 1861

M. V. B. Simeoke,
Notary Public

State of Indiana Adams County
In the Adams Circuit Court
August Term 1861

Armi Stultzer

vs

Nico deems Stultzer

} Driven

The State of Indiana by A
A. Chopin Prosecuting Attorney deems
to plainiffs complaint and says
it does not state facts sufficient
to constitute a good cause of action

A. Chopin

Pro atty

State of Indiana ¹ In the Adams Circuit
Adams County ²⁰ Court Aug term 1861

Neomi Stultzer ¹
vs ² Richardus Stultzer ³ Complainit for
Divorce

Neomi Stultzer Plaintiff
Complainit of Richardus Stultzer
defendant and says that the Plaintiff
and Defendant on the 11th day of
August 1854 were married and
that at the time of such marriage
the Plaintiff entered into the same
in good faith and did at all times
after that carry out all parts of the
marrital Relation in good faith and
as a prudent and good wife should
But that the Said Defendant wholly
failed to perform his part of the
Marrital Relation in this and that he
Commeneed a Course of Harsh and
Cruel Conduct towards the Plaintiff, the
Defendant would not provide and
had but little means the Said Defendant
defamed the Character of the Plaintiff
Among his friends and neighbors and
so base and wickedly, that it had the
effect to entirely destroy all love and friend-
ship, the Plaintiff entertained for
the defendant and make him in his
feelings and mind that of an enemy in-
stead of a friend and that often wores
on the day of A.D.

the Defendant abandoned the Plaintiff
and gone to parts unknown, that the
Character, habits, & disposition of the
Defendant is such that it is impossible
for any woman that has any Respect
for herself to live with said Defendant
and that the Defendant had seduced
another woman and left the Country
and the Plaintiff asks to be divorced
from the Defendant and that the
Marriage Contract be made void as if
the same had never existed and
the Plaintiff will ever pray,

Wm. B. Spinoke
Atty for Plaintiff