## State of Indiana, Adams County, ss:

The State of Indiana, to the Sheriff	of said Coun	ty-Greeting:
Q loss	l'augan	2000
Luginbil, albert Mosex, David AM	weggar.	N Nava
Longinbell, albert x1110sex, Dairaxfill	very)	onallan c
Spridager.		
	<u> </u>	<i>A</i>
	1	* ************************************
to appear before the Judge of the Adams Circuit Court, on The	usday	the 10" day
of 1907, being the 34	day of t	he present term.
		4"
of said Court, now in session at the Court House in Decatur, to	testify in an	action wherein
0 10 51 WN 10		P. Carlotte
Joseph Mr. Murphy		Plaintiff, and
John I x et al.		Defendant,
on behalf of the defendants, and return this writ.	. * 2	
WITNESS, the Clerk of said Court, this		day
of October	190	
Daniel (1	) leas	
Danie	ruer	Clerk.
Paul Bauring	artice	Deputy.

State of Indiana §§ In the Adams Circuit Court, SS.

County of Adams §§ April term, 1907.

Joseph W. Murphy.

VS

John Fox. Amiel Springer. No. 737/1

& second
DEMUR TO FIRST, PARAGRAPH OF COMPLAINT.

The defendants separately and severally demura to the first paragraph of the plaintiff's complaint, and for cause of demur say: - that said first paragraph of complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

Demur to second paragraph of complaint: -

The defendantS separately and severally demur to the second paragraph of the plaintiff's complaint, and for cause of demur say: - that said second paragraph of complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

Attorneys for defendant.

Murphy, 2 How at al. I Gruttemen of the fung. the forme of gran verdich will be "That the pery few for the planetist and assess the laurayes at They blanck to be felled by the amount agrand upon in any quest hot to Exeed the decisioned in the consplaint Horm o find for the defendant The forme of your verdich were be the pery fried for the defreedunt and Whickory from verdeet you may agree whom I must be segmed by your telet from your mules A sued with gred for deles brotens A sued with gred formes of Arrdiels corresponding to the ours perus indicated withen of which you may use of it meets frur offormal on propore ou a for yourselves

I send with your to your Jury reone the complaint and auseur in the case not so roiduce, but Guede god in your deleberation Where goed horr agreed upon a verdich and hove the same you may report the same nuts opin Court

State of Indiana \$ SS. County of Adams \$ \$

In the Adams Circuit Court, September Term, 1907.

Joseph W. Murphy.

vs.

John Fox.
Amiel Sprünger.

The defendants for their separate answer to the first and second paragraph of the plaintiffs complaint, answer and say, that each separately and severally deny each and every allegation therein contained.

John Jay & Muiel Joung W

DEFENDANTS

JOHN Duttun

John Pattrell

Peterson & marau

ATTYS FOR DEFENDANTS.

County of Adams. #

Joseph W. Murphy.

Amiel Sprunger.

#

Complaint for Damages.

VS

#

Demand \$1375.00

John Fox.

# # #

The plaintiff complains of the defendants and of each one of them and says that on or about the 28"day of March, 1906 and pror thereto, he was and now is the owner of the following described real estate situated in Adams county, in the state of Indiana, towit: The south east quarter of the south east quarter of section fourteen(14) in township twenty six(36) north range fourteen(14) east, except therefrom one hundred and five rods deeded to the Christian Church.

That on said land was a dwelling house, a scored and hued burr-oak and white oak barn building thirty six feet long and twenty four feet wide with frame additions, one of said additions being thirty six feet long and twenty feet wide, another being thirty six feet long and twenty feet wide, another being thirty six feet long and twenty feet wide, a corn crib five feet wide, twelve feet high and thirty two feet long, with covered driveway between the said main building and crib, all of which was worth at said time, the sum of Eight Hundred Dollars.

That the plaintiff had procured and employed the said defendants in the said month of March,1906,to saw out certain lumber and to saw logs into lumber for a building, plaintiff desired and intended to build, erect and construct on said land, the said defendants being at that time engeged in the business of sawing lumber for persons who desired and intended to construct and erect buildings on their lands, and in such business, they owned and used for the purpose of running and operating their saws and mill, a portable traction engine, which