

## Solomon Clute vs Louisa Clute - divorce

Solomon J. Clute	Plaintiff; complaint for divorce	Jan. Term 1864
Louisa N. Clute	Defendant	
Solomon J. Clute	Oath: married Feb. 16, 1860 at Montezuma, Iowa	
Solomon J. Clute	Oath: defendant abandoned Oct. 10, 1863	
Wm. P. Nelson	Attorney for plaintiff	
Wm. S. Yillisard	Attorney for plaintiff	
Solomon J. Clute	Plaintiff	Jan. 11, 1864
Louisa N. Clute	Defendant; denies allegations of plaintiff	
Jos. P. France	Attorney for defendant	
Solomon J. Clute	Plaintiff; agrees to case being referred to Moses Jenkinson	Jan. 12, 1864
Louisa N. Clute	Defendant; agrees to case being referred to Moses Jenkinson	
Moses Jenkinson	Refferee	





State of Indiana } Court of Common Pleas.  
Adams County p. p. January Term 1867  
Solomon J. Clute }  
vs }  
Lived.  
Louisa A. Clute.

Plaintiff complains of  
said defendant and says that they were lawfully  
married on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of February 1860 at Mon-  
tequena in the State of Iowa. That they lived and  
cohabited together as husband and wife from  
that time until the 10<sup>th</sup> day of October 1865  
when the said defendant without just cause  
on the part of said Plaintiff abandoned him  
with the intention of final abandonment and  
has ever since continued to live separate and  
apart from him. That said Plaintiff always  
provided well for said defendant's support and main-  
tenance. That there is no hope of a reconcilia-



time between them. That said Plaintiff is and  
for more than one year last past has been a  
bona fide resident of the State of Indiana.

He would therefore pray a divorce  
and such other and further relief as to the  
Court may seem right.

Wm S. Gillisw. &

Attys for Piff



State of Indiana, Com. Pleas Court  
Adams County } January Term 1864

Solomon I Clute }

Louisa <sup>vs</sup> I Clute }

Now to wit Jan 11<sup>th</sup> 1864

Came the above named Sft and answers Pfs  
complaint by denying each and every material allegation  
therein contained

Jos P Grance Atty  
pro Sft

Now to wit January 12<sup>th</sup> 1864 Came the  
parties to the above entitled cause and hereby  
agree to refer the same to Moses Sen Kinson  
for hearing and report

Jos P Grance Sft Atty



Plaintiff (Plaintiff) of and  
from (Plaintiff) of and

the one designed to show  
the above case was referred  
to the parties in interest  
on the 28th day of February  
1860 and on the 10th day  
of October 1862 the clau-  
se of Plaintiff without error  
with the intention of first  
to demand and to require  
to live with him since that  
there is no hope of a re-  
ciprocal return and for one  
year with just the car been  
rendered of at some point  
of the way he remained  
during his absence  
upon