



H D Baker

3

No 360

vs
Thomas J Shedd

Comes now the defendant in the above entitled cause and demurs to plaintiffs complaint for the following grounds of objection
That the plaintiffs complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action

Francis Miller
Atty for Deft

Baker

vs
Shields } Dommer

comes now the

defendant and demurs to
plaintiff's complaint for reason
that it does not state facts
sufficient to constitute a
cause of action

Finance and Miller
Atlys for Dft

In consideration of the sum
of ~~six~~^{Eight} hundred Dollars in
hand paid by W.D. Baker
I have bargained and sold
and do hereby sell and convey to
the said W.D. Baker the goods
and chattels mentioned in the
schedule hereto annexed to int
the undivided ~~one~~ third of the
Portable Saw Mill known as
the Argo & Baker mill with
all appurtenances thereto belong
ing.

Provided always and these present
are upon this condition that when
as the said Thomas J. Shields is
indebted to the said W.D. Baker
in the sum of six hundred ~~dollar~~
in three promissory notes of two
hundred Dollars each with inter-
est from the 12th day of February
1872, given as a part of the ~~purchase~~
money for the above described saw
mill. Now if the said Thomas
J. Shields shall punctually pay
said sum of money with the
interest when the same shall be-
come due then this conveyance
to be void otherwise to be in full

force. The said Thomas J. Shields
is to retain possession of said prop-
erty until said debt becomes due
and upon default of payment
of said moneys, shall redeliver
the said property to the said
W. S. Baker In testimony where-
of I heremtto set my hand and
seal this the 21st day of February

1872

Thomas J. Shields

State of Indiana

Allen county 3 set

Personally appeared before me
E. S. Coverdale a notary Public
in and for said county from
J. Shields who acknowledged
the execution of the above mortg-
age for the uses and purposes
therein mentioned In witness where-
of I heremtto set my hand and
notarial seal this the 21st day
of February 1872 E. S. Coverdale



State of Indiana } Adams Circuit
Adams County, Court May Term,
1874,

That the said Plaintiff has a mortgage as aforesaid in this complaint
was duly recorded by the Recorder of Allen County Indiana on the 24th day of February
1872 against A. W. and wife ten days from the execution thereof
that the said William D. Baker is defendant in this action is described in said mortgage note
and whose name is William D. Baker

William D. Baker

vs

Thomas J. Shields

Complaint for
Foreclosure.

William D. Baker Plaintiff and
complains of Thomas J. Shields
defendant and says that he to
find on the 21st day of February
1872 said defendant executed ^{in Allen County Indiana}
to said Plaintiff a certain Chancery
mortgage concurring to the said
Plaintiff the rights interest and
effects in a certain mill described
in the original mortgage which is
here to see annexed and made
a part of this complaint as
Security for the payment of a
debt evidenced by Note which
will also be seen by copy filed her-
with and made a part of this ^{current}
amounting to Two Hundred dollars
with interest at the rate of ten
per cent including attorneys fees
for collecting if not paid at maturity

that the sum is now due and
remaining wholly unpaid.
wherefore he asks judgment in the
sum of 300 dollars and the sum
clerkship of the mortgage and sale
of property or so much thereof
as may be necessary to pay
his debt and the other proper
relief - Copy of note -

\$201⁰⁰ Monroeville Ind Feb 12 18⁷³
I do pray after date I mony
to pay to the order of W.D. Baker
or bearer Two hundred dollars
value Recd without relief from
valuation and assessment taxes with
interest annually at 10 percent
until paid and all costs and
allowances pay for collection
of said Note is not paid at
maturity,

Thomas J Sheldy

J J Steele

atty for Pffy